

Filed Electronically		
<p align="center"><b>RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT</b></p> <p>Address to: Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</p>	Docket No.	UCAL-296
	Confirmation No.	2176
	Application No.	10/799,854
	Filing Date	March 12, 2004
	Examiner	Jeffrey S. Parkin
	Group Art Unit	1648
	Title	<i>ACETYLATED TAT POLYPEPTIDES AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF</i>

Sir:

This communication is submitted in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed January 10, 2007. The Restriction Requirement set forth a one-month time period for response, making a response due on or before February 10, 2007. Accordingly, this response is timely filed.

#### I. REMARKS

In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner required election of one of the following groups of claims:

- Group I:        Claims 1-18
- Group II:       Claims 19-23
- Group III:      Claim 24
- Group IV:      Claims 25-28

Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the claims of Group I, claims 1-18, with traverse. As stated in the MPEP §803, if search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine the entire application on the merits, even though the entire application includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. It is Applicants' position that it would not be unduly burdensome to perform a search on all of the claims together in the present application. Accordingly, Applicants traverse the restriction requirement.